



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/574,896

04/06/2006

Shuji Ikegami

4633-0166PUS1

3390

2292 7590 08/05/2009
BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH
PO BOX 747
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747

EXAMINER

COX, ALEXIS K

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3744

NOTIFICATION DATE

DELIVERY MODE

08/05/2009

ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/574,896	Applicant(s) IKEGAMI ET AL.	
	Examiner ALEXIS K. COX	Art Unit 3744	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) ALEXIS K. COX. (3) GEORGE S. DOLINA, registration #63654.
 (2) FRANTZ F. JULES. (4) ____.

Date of Interview: 28 July 2009.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
 c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
 If Yes, brief description: ____.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,6,13 and 14.

Identification of prior art discussed: Rhodes (US Patent No. 4,700,550); Rhodes (US Patent No. 4,786,301).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicant's proposed amendment to claim 1 was agreed to be distinguished over prior art of record; the examiner suggests further detailed information regarding the switching mechanisms of the hot and cold water loops be included in the dependent claims; the examiner further suggests the filing of an RCE, as the proposed amendment will require further search and consideration.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexis Cox/
Examiner, AU 3744

/Frantz F Jules/
Supervisory Patent Examiner